

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/602,874	06/25/2003	Takahiro Amanai	12706/5	2241
7590 03/29/2004			EXAMINER	
KENYON & I	KENYON		THOMAS, I	BRANDI N
Suite 700 1500 K Street, I	N W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005			2873	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/602,874	AMANAI, TAKAHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brandi N Thomas	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . I reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicat	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,8-11,13,15,17,18 and 20</u> is	s/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>3,5,7,12,14,16 and 19</u> is/are object	cted to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10) $oxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>6/25/03</u> is/are: a) $oxtimes$] accepted or b)☐ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 	nents have been received.					
Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have beer	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: <u>De</u>					

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Acknowledgement is made of receipt of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) filed 7/30/03. An initialed copy is attached to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shibazaki (2001/0038500 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Shibazaki teaches an image pickup lens unit comprising at least three optical elements (92 a and 92b) having at least an air interval (not numbered, shown under each lens) for forming an air lens wherein the optical elements are formed unitarily wherein the optical elements (92 a and 92b) are cemented mutually between the other optical elements which

Art Unit: 2873

neighbors in an optical axis or between sandwiching members (93) which are disposed between the other optical elements (figure 24).

Regarding claim 2, Shibazaki discloses an image pickup lens unit wherein side surfaces of the optical elements are aligned in a surface which expands in the optical direction (figure 24).

Regarding claim 4, Shibazaki discloses an image pickup lens unit wherein the surfaces of the optical elements except optical surfaces are provided with a light absorbing member (section 0082).

Regarding claims 9, 11, and 13, Shibazaki discloses an image pickup device which is provided with the image pickup lens unit (section 0147).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6, 8, 10, 15, 17, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shibazaki (2001/0038500 A1) in view of being well known in the art.

Regarding claim 6, Shibazaki teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show at least optical surfaces of a pair of the optical elements are cemented together. It would be obvious to cement the optical surfaces of the optical elements, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use (In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416). It would have been obvious to someone of

Art Unit: 2873

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cement the optical surfaces of the optical elements for the purpose of providing maintaining the position of the optical elements and providing support and security.

Regarding claim 8, Shibazaki discloses an image pickup lens unit but does not specifically discloses an optical filter. However, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an optical filter for the purpose of preventing the optical aberrations from being unstabilized.

Regarding claim 15, Shibazaki discloses an image pickup device which is provided with the image pickup lens unit (section 0147).

Regarding claims 10, 17, 18, and 20, Shibazaki teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show an image pickup element is cemented to the image pickup lens unit. It would be obvious to cement the image pickup element to the image pickup lens unit, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use (In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416). It would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cement the optical surfaces of the optical elements for the purpose of providing stability and support.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3, 5, 7, 12, 14, 16, and 19 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2873

8. The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claim(s), in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim(s) 3, 5, 7, 12, 14, 16, and 19, wherein the claimed invention comprises an image pickup lens unit wherein conditional such as ST/TD<0.7 and MT/TD<0.5 are effective under the condition that an interval between a first surface in an optical system in which the optical elements are cemented and a last surface in the optical system, wherein a maximum of an inclination angle θ is not more obtuse than 60 degrees on each optical surface in the optical elements, wherein a relationship such as $0 < |\phi/\phi_A| < 0.5$ is effective under condition that a maximum power in an cemented surface of the lens is defined as ϕ_A , and a power of the optical element which is formed unitarily in an overall optical system is defined as ϕ_A , as claimed.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Dobashi et al. (20030179457 A1) discloses an image pickup element for picking up an object image.

Tanaka et al. (2003/0128442 A1) discloses an image pickup device which is reduced in size and thickness by integrally assembling an optical lens, a solid-state image pickup element, peripheral components, a substrate.

Takatori et al. (5151790) discloses an image pickup device for use in a general image pickup input apparatus.

Art Unit: 2873

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandi N Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-2341. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

X. Mak